Case 2:21-cr-00007-DAD Document 409 Filed 12/07/22 Page 1 of 5

1 2 3 4 5	501 I Street, Sacramento, Telephone:	s Attorney SPENCER uited States Attorney Suite 10-100		
6 7	Attorneys fo United State	r Plaintiff s of America		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
0				
1	UNITED ST	CATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:21-CR-0007-DAD	
12		Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
14 15 16 17	JOSE GUADALUPE LOPEZ-ZAMORA, LEONARDO FLORES BELTRAN, CHRISTIAN ANTHONY ROMERO, JOAQUIN ALBERTO SOTELO VALDEZ, ERIKA GABRIELA ZAMORA ROJO, JOSE LUIS AGUILAR SAUCEDO, and ROSARIO ZAMORA ROJO,		DATE: December 13, 2022 TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Dale A. Drozd	
8		Defendants.		
9				
20			PULATION	
21		•	I through its counsel of record, and the above-captioned	
22	defendants, b	by and through their respective couns	sel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
23	1.	By previous order, this matter was	set for status on December 13, 2022.	
24	2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until March			
25	28, 2023, and to exclude time between December 13, 2022, and March 28, 2023, under Local Codes T2			
26	and T4.			
27 28	3.	The parties agree and stipulate, an	d request that the Court find the following:	

- a) The grand jury returned an indictment in this case on January 28, 2021. Fourteen defendants are named in the publicly filed indictment. The names of two additional defendants who have not yet been arrested are redacted from the publicly filed indictment.
- b) On May 11, 2021, the Court signed an order substituting attorney Kresta Daly as counsel of record for defendant Christian Romero. ECF No. 162.
- c) On January 4, 2022, defendant Rosario Zamora Rojo made his initial appearance on a criminal complaint. *See* 2:21-MJ-00153-DB, ECF No. 5.
- d) On March 3, 2022, the grand jury returned a superseding indictment adding Rosario Zamora Rojo as a defendant and adding a money-laundering conspiracy charge against defendants Jose Lopez-Zamora and Erika Zamora Rojo. ECF No. 276.
- e) On December 5, 2022, the Court signed an order substituting attorney Jennifer Mouzis as new counsel of record for defendant Erika Gabriela Zamora Rojo, in place of attorney Martin Tejeda. ECF No. 408.
- f) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case to date includes approximately 8,252 pages of materials, including investigative reports, photographs, search warrant materials, and other documents, as well as voluminous audio and video recordings and approximately 978 recorded phone calls intercepted pursuant to the Title III wiretap in this case. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.
- g) Counsel for defendants need additional time to review the voluminous discovery in this case, to conduct independent factual investigation, to research trial and sentencing issues, to consult with their clients, and to otherwise prepare for trial. In particular, attorney Jennifer Mouzis, who was substituted as new counsel of record for defendant Erika Gabriela Zamora Rojo on December 5, 2022, needs time to review the voluminous discovery in this case, consult with her client, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- h) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

- 3
- 6
- 8
- 10

- 15
- 16
- 18
- 22
- 25
- 26 27
- 28

- i) The government does not object to the continuance.
- j) In addition, this case is "complex" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii) [Local Code T2], as this Court previously found in its February 10, 2021 Order (ECF No. 103) and subsequent orders.
- k) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- 1) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of December 13, 2022 to March 28, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] and 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii) [Local Code T2] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Case 2:21-cr-00007-DAD Document 409 Filed 12/07/22 Page 4 of 5

1	Dated: December 6, 2022	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney
2		
3		/s/ DAVID W. SPENCER DAVID W. SPENCER
4		Assistant United States Attorney
5	Dated: December 6, 2022	/s/ Todd D. Leras
6		Todd D. Leras Counsel for Defendant
7		JOSE GUADALUPE LOPEZ- ZAMORA
8	Dated: December 6, 2022	/s/ Christopher R. Cosca
9		Christopher R. Cosca Counsel for Defendant
10		LEONARDO FLORES BELTRAN
11	Dated: December 6, 2022	/s/ Kresta N. Daly
12		Kresta N. Daly Counsel for Defendant
13		CHRISTIAN ANTHONY ROMERO
14	Dated: December 6, 2022	/s/ Michael D. Long Michael D. Long
15		Michael D. Long Counsel for Defendant
16		JOAQUIN ALBERTO SOTELO VALDEZ
17		
18	Dated: December 6, 2022	/s/ Jennifer Mouzis
19		Jennifer Mouzis Counsel for Defendant
20		ERIKA GABRIELA ZAMORA ROJO
21	Dated: December 6, 2022	/s/ Dina L. Santos
22		Dina L. Santos Counsel for Defendant
23		JOSE LUIS AGUILAR SAUCEDO
24	Dated: December 6, 2022	/s/ Shari Rusk
25	-,	Shari Rusk Counsel for Defendant
26		ROSARIO ZAMORA ROJO
27		

28

Case 2:21-cr-00007-DAD Document 409 Filed 12/07/22 Page 5 of 5

I	[PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER
2	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this day of
3	
4	THE HONORABLE DALE A. DROZD
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
- 11	
28	